

# Generalized functions - HW 3

November 18, 2015

## Question 1

We prove the claim: Assume  $(f_n)$  converges weakly to  $f$ . We will show that for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$   $f_n(x) \rightarrow f(x)$ . Indeed, let  $\delta_x$  be the translated  $\delta$ -function. Since  $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  is dense inside  $C_c^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \approx C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})^w$  there exists a sequence  $g_n^x$  which converges to  $\delta_x$  in a distribution sense. That is for every  $h \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  we have that  $\langle g_n^x, h \rangle \rightarrow \langle \delta_x, h \rangle = h(x)$ . Now, consider the sequence  $\langle f_n, g_n^x \rangle$  since everything is linear and continuous and nice we can calculate it in two ways:

$$\lim f_n(x) = \langle \lim_n f_n, \delta_x \rangle = \langle \lim_n f_n, \lim_n g_n^x \rangle = \langle f, \lim_n g_n^x \rangle = \langle f, \delta_x \rangle = f(x)$$

The claim follows.

## Question 2

Let  $U = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$ ,  $\xi_i \in C_c^\infty(U_i)$ , and let  $\{\varphi_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a partition of unity subordinate to  $\{U_i\}$ . Meaning,  $\text{supp}(\varphi_i) \subset U_i$ , for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  only finitely many  $\varphi_i$  are not zero and  $\sum_i \varphi_i = 1$ . W.L.O.G we may assume each  $U_i$  is contained inside some compact set, otherwise we just refine the cover through compact exhaustion of the sets.

Since each  $\varphi_i$  is compactly supported, we may define the distribution  $\varphi_i \xi_i$ . and then define  $\xi = \sum \varphi_i \xi_i$ . Note that  $\xi \in C_c^{-\infty}(U)$ , and that for each  $f \in C_c^\infty(U_i)$  we have that  $\langle \xi \upharpoonright_{U_i}, f \rangle = \langle \xi, \bar{f} \rangle$  where  $\bar{f}$  is the extension by zeros of  $f$  into  $U$ . By linearity,  $\langle \xi, \bar{f} \rangle = \sum_j \langle \varphi_j \xi_j, \bar{f} \rangle$  Since  $\bar{f}$  is supported on  $U_i$  and all  $\xi_j$  agree with  $\xi_i$  on  $U_i \cap U_j$  we may rewrite the sum as  $\langle (\sum_j \varphi_j) \xi_i, \bar{f} \rangle = \langle 1 \cdot \xi_i, f \rangle$  and  $\xi \upharpoonright_{U_i} = \xi_i$  as required.

## Question 3

a) Before starting, we will prove the existence of linear functionals on  $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  which are not continuous. Consider the linear functional  $\varphi(f) = \sum_i \frac{1}{i!} f^{(i)}(0)$ , it is clearly linear and according to Taylor's theorem it is also well defined. However, it does not depend on a finite number of derivatives, which is a converse to what we have seen for continuous functionals. Thus  $\varphi$  is a non-continuous functional.

To see that  $C_c^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  is not complete we consider it a subspace of  $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})'$ , the space of all

linear functionals (not necessarily continuous). As we will show in the next item this space is complete, and by the previous claim it does not equal  $C_c^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ . Thus, it will be enough to show that  $C_c^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  is dense inside  $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})'$ .

Indeed, let  $\emptyset \neq U_{A, \{V_f\}} = \{\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})' : |\varphi(f)| \in V_f, \forall f \in A\}$  be a basic open set where  $A$  is a finite set and  $\{V_f\}_{f \in A}$  are open in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $\varphi \in U_{A, V}$ . We define the functional  $\psi(f) = \phi(f)$  if  $f \in \text{span}(A)$  and 0 otherwise. Clearly,  $\psi \in U_{A, V}$  and since  $\psi$  is bounded it is also linear ( $\psi$  is also well defined since  $A$  is finite). Thus,  $C_c^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  is dense inside  $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})'$  which implies that it is not a closed subspace. Since  $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})'$  is complete this implies that  $C_c^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  is not.

b) We will use a similar tactic to show that  $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})'$  is complete. Only, this time we consider it as subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})}$ , the space of all functions (not necessarily linear or continuous) with the weak topology. That is, the basic open sets are precisely  $U_{A, \{V_f\}} = \{\varphi \in \mathbb{R}^{C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})} : |\varphi(f)| \in V_f, \forall f \in A\}$ . But these are precisely the basic open sets in the product topology on  $\mathbb{R}^{C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})}$ . Thus, the weak topology and the product topology are the same. Since  $\mathbb{R}$  is complete any product of it is also complete and  $\mathbb{R}^{C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})}$  is complete. It will suffice to show that  $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})'$  is closed inside  $\mathbb{R}^{C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})}$ . Equivalently, we will show that its complement is open.

Let  $\varphi \in \mathbb{R}^{C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \setminus C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})'$ . There are two options:

- There exists a function  $f$  and a scalar  $\lambda \neq 1$  such that  $\varphi(\lambda f) - \lambda\varphi(f) = \varepsilon > 0$ . In that case, the basic open set which is  $(\varphi(\lambda f) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \varphi(\lambda f) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2})$  on  $\lambda f$ ,  $(\varphi(f) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \varphi(f) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2})$  on  $f$  and  $\mathbb{R}$  at every other point must contain  $\varphi$  but cannot contain any linear function.
- The second option is that there are two function  $f$  and  $g$  such that  $\varphi(f+g) \neq \varphi(f) + \varphi(g)$ . In which case we repeat the same trick as above only this time we consider 3 functions:  $f$ ,  $g$  and  $f+g$ .

Overall, for every  $\varphi$  as above there is an open neighborhood which is disjoint from  $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})'$  which concludes the proof.

## Question 4

a) We denote  $U = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathbb{R}^k$ . Since  $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a limit of Frechet spaces we may describe  $\overline{C_c^\infty(U)}$  as the set of all limits of sequences inside  $C_c^\infty(U)$ . It is not evident that  $\overline{C_c^\infty(U)} \subset \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} V_m$ . Since for each element of a sequence in  $C_c^\infty(U)$  all derivatives must vanish in a neighborhood of  $\mathbb{R}^k$  in the limit all derivatives must vanish in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ .

To see that  $\bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} V_m \subset \overline{C_c^\infty(U)}$  let  $f \in \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} V_m$ , we will find a sequence inside  $C_c^\infty(U)$  which converges to  $f$ . To find such a sequence, consider the bump functions  $\eta_i : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $\eta_i(\mathbb{R}^k) = 1$  and  $\eta_i$  vanishes outside of  $B(\mathbb{R}^k, \frac{1}{i}) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x - y\| > \frac{1}{i}, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^k\}$ . We now define  $\bar{\eta}_i = 1 - \eta_i$ . Now, the sequence  $(f \cdot \bar{\eta}_i)$  is clearly inside  $C_c^\infty(U)$ , since each such function vanishes on a neighborhood of  $\mathbb{R}^k$ . On the other hand, if we define the compact set  $K = \text{supp}(f)$  then all derivatives of  $f \cdot \bar{\eta}_i$  converge uniformly to  $f$  in  $K$ . Thus,  $f \cdot \bar{\eta}_i \rightarrow f$ .

b) We consider the functional  $\frac{\partial \delta}{\partial x_{k+1}}$ . If there is any justice in this world, its support is contained in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ . On the other hand, observe the function  $f(x) = x_{k+1} \cdot \varphi$  where  $\varphi$  is some bump function supported on a neighborhood of the origin. Clearly  $f \in V_m$  for every  $m$  since it does

not have any derivatives in the first  $k$  coordinates.

On the other hand,  $\langle \frac{\partial \delta}{\partial x_{k+1}}, f \rangle = - \langle \delta, \varphi + x_{k+1} \cdot \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_{k+1}} \rangle = -1 \neq 0$ . So,  $\frac{\partial \delta}{\partial x_{k+1}} \notin \bigcup F_m$  as desired.

c) Let  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be a diffeomorphism such that  $\varphi(\mathbb{R}^k) = \mathbb{R}^k$  and let  $\xi \in F_m$  we would like to show that  $\varphi(\xi) \in F_m$  as well. Where  $\langle \varphi(\xi), f \rangle = \langle \xi, f \circ \varphi \rangle$ . That is, it will suffice to show that if  $f \in V_m$  then so is  $f \circ \varphi$ .

Clearly,  $f \circ \varphi \in V_0$ . Note that by the chain rule we may write the total differential as  $D(f \circ \varphi) = Df \cdot D\varphi$ . The condition on  $f$  assures that on  $\mathbb{R}^k$ ,  $Df$  is a block matrix where all but the last  $(n-k) \times (n-k)$  coordinates are zero. Also, since  $\varphi(\mathbb{R}^k) = \mathbb{R}^k$  we know that on  $\mathbb{R}^k$ ,  $D\varphi$  is a block matrix with one block on the first  $k \times k$  coordinates and another on the last  $(n-k) \times (n-k)$  coordinates. Thus  $Df \cdot D\varphi$  is again zero on all but the last  $(n-k) \times (n-k)$  coordinates, and  $f \circ \varphi \in V_1$ . We may now proceed by induction, while viewing  $D(f \circ \varphi)$  as a function on a higher dimensional space.

## Question 5

Since  $V$  is finite dimensional, we may assume W.L.O.G. that  $V \simeq \mathbb{R}^k$ . Let  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^k$  be the standard basis of  $V$ . Let  $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, V^*)^*$ , we may think about  $\varphi$  as  $\sum_{i=1}^k \varphi_i \cdot e^i$ , where  $\{e^i\}$  is the dual basis.

We now have a bijection from  $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, V^*)^*$  to  $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)^* \otimes V$  by  $\varphi \leftrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^k \varphi_i \otimes e_i$ .